

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9249 of 2016

=====

Anand Shankar son of Shri Aditya Narayan Sharma, resident of Village- Maniyawan, P.O.- Kahisarai, P.S.- Kako, District- Jahanabad at present working as Computer Teacher under I.C.T. @ School Project in Rajkiyakrit Higher Secondary School, Paibigha, District- Gaya and Secretary of Bihar Anubhavi Madhyamik Computer Shikshak Sangh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Bihar State Electronic Development Corporation (BELTRON), Patna.
6. The Bihar Secondary School Examination Board, Patna through the Chairman of Bihar Secondary School Examination Board, Patna.
7. The Secretary, Bihar Secondary School Examination Board, Patna.
8. The Managing Director, BSEIDC, Shiksha Bhawan, Saidpur, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocates.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Girish Kumar, Satyabir Bharti, Advocates
For the State	:	Mr. Nagendra Kumar, A.C to A.A.G. IX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2018 Heard Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Considering the nature of the grievance and the present litigation, the Court is not in a position to grant any positive relief.

Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the division bench in public interest litigation has already issued certain direction and in view of the



aforesaid part of the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed.

Considering the aforesaid circumstances, this writ application disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent for implementation of the direction issued by the Division Bench if not implemented as yet the concerned respondent is expected consider the same within a maximum period of 60 days from the receipt/production of this order.

With the aforesaid direction the writ application is disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

khushbu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

