

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3374 of 2016

=====

Prem Kumari, Wife of Uday Sahani, Resident of Village- Dihutola, Ward No-9 Post Office- Madhuban, District- East Champaran at Motihari.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Secretary Department of Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
3. The District Welfare officer East Champaran at Motihari.
4. The District Programme Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
5. The Child Development Programme Officer, Madhuban.
6. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Madhuban. Block, Madhuban District- East Champaran at Motihari.
7. Anita Devi Wife of Rajesh Kumar Roushan, Resident of Village- Dihutola Ward No-10, Post Office- Madhuban, Police Station- Madhuban, District- East Champaran at Motihari.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Irshad, AC to SC-1
For respondent no.7 : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 01-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of private respondent against the post of Anganwari Sevika for Dih Tola, Madhuban South, Ward No.9, in the district of East Champaran and seeks a direction to appoint herself against the post.

The guidelines in force enable the petitioner to raise such grievance before the District Programme Officer, whose order is appealable before the District Magistrate. The petitioner has not exhausted the remedy although some kind of application has been filed before the Child Development Project Officer who has no



jurisdiction under the guidelines to adjudicate on issues arising from a selection process.

In the circumstances, while granting liberty to the petitioner to exhaust the remedy so available to her under the guidelines, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

