

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.42735 of 2018

=====

Dilip Sahni, Son of Late Gonaur Sahni, Resident of Village- Lakshminiya,
P.S.- Shyampur Bhataha, District- Sheohar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2018 in connection with Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No.81 of 2016 registered for the offence under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is named in the F.I.R, yet there was no recovery from the petitioner and other similarly situated co-accused person, namely, Pramod Sah, has since been extended the privilege of bail in Cr.Misc. No.40604 of 2017, vide order dated 20.09.2017.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances and that the petitioner is also involved in two other cases, in which he has already been extended the privilege



of bail, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Sheohar, in connection with Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No.81 of 2016, subject to the following conditions:

(1) One of the bailors will be his own blood relative, preferably, mother, brother, sister and/or his wife.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of his failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--

