

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 186 of 2017

=====

Sanjeet Kumar S/o Sri Sipahi Rai Resident of Village-Fatehpur Chain, P.S.
Dariyapur, District-Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Primary and Adult Education, Human Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna
2. The Director, Primary and Adult Education, Human Resources Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Tribunal, Saran at Chapra
4. The District Magistrate Cum-Chairman, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Saran at Chapra
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner Cum -Vice Chairman, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Saran at Chapra
6. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chapra
7. The District Superintendent of Education Cum-District Programme Coordinator, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Saran at Chapra
8. The Block Development Officer, Dariyapur, Saran at Chapra
9. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Nagpur, Raj Sajjanpur, Matihan, P.S. Dariyapur, District-Saran at Chapra
10. The Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Sajjanpur, Matihan, P.S. Dariyapur, District-Saran at Chapra
11. The Selection Committee, Gram Panchayat Raj, Sajjanpur Matihan, P.S. Dariyapur, District-Saran at Chapra
12. Jitesh Kumar Rai S/o Chandrika Rai Resident of Village-Maguraha, P.S. Dighwara, District-Saran at Chapra

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr Aditya Narayan Singh No I, Advocate
For Respondent No 12	:	Mr Pushkar Narayan Shahi, Sr Advocate with Mr Ajay Kr Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr Madanjeet Singh, GP XX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

7 04-12-2018

This writ petition has been filed challenging the order dated 15.04.2013 passed in Case No 1384 of 2011 by the District Teachers Appointment Appellate Tribunal, Saran against which the petitioner has efficacious and alternative remedy of appeal.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be approaching the State Appellate Tribunal by filing his appeal.

In view of the said submission, the writ petition is dismissed with liberty to move the State Appellate Tribunal, as prayed for herein-above.

Needless to say that it would be open to the petitioner to raise the issue of pendency of the instant proceedings to meet the delay occasioned in filing the appeal.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

