

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.39896 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -332 Year- 2016 Thana -NAUTAN District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

1. Gauri Shankar Pandey son of Mahima Pandey
2. Ritesh Kumar Pandey @ Ritesh Pandey son of Shiva Ji Pandey
3. Suresh Yadav son of Mahadev Yadav All are residents of village - Uttari
Nonia (Pandey Tola), Police Station - Paharpur, District - East Champaran.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar-I

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Trial
No. 3803 of 2017, arising out of Nautan (Jagdishpur) P.S.Case No.
332 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable under Sections
420, 406, 467, 468 and 471/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioners is that co-accused
Dinesh Kumar Pandey in conspiracy with the petitioners prepared
a forged Panchnama in the name of informant.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is that
the petitioners are witnesses to the said Panchnama and final form
in this case has been submitted by police as mistaken of facts and
in other case lodged by the informant for the same occurrence



petitioners were granted privilege of anticipatory bail.

Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and in the facts and circumstances, let the petitioners, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI-cum-Sub-Judge-III, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Trial No. 3803 of 2017, arising out of Nautan (Jagdishpur) P.S.Case No. 332 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and further condition is that one of the bailors shall be a local person having sufficient immoveable properties within the jurisdiction of court concerned.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spa/-

U			
---	--	--	--

