

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7192 of 2017

=====

Birendra Nath Verma Son of Late Uma Kant Verma Residing at Mohalla-
Nackchhed Tola, P.O. and Police Station Motihari, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur through its Registrar.
4. Registrar, B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
5. Finance Officer, B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
6. Principal, Sri Narayan Singh College, Motihari, District East Champaran, PIN
Code- 845401

.... Respondent/s

With

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8442 of 2017

=====

Dr. Uday Pratap Singh, Son of Late Ram Narayan Singh, residing at Mohalla New
Chandmari, Durga Mandir, Post Office and Police Station Motihari, District- East
Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur through its Registrar.
4. Registrar, B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
5. Finance Officer, B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
6. Principal, Satya Narayan Singh College, Motihari, District East Champaran, Pin
Code- 845401.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.7192 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Vinay Prasad Singh, A.C. to G.A. XII.
For the University	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Jha and Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocates

(In CWJC No.8442 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-04-2018



Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; State and B.R.A. Bihar University (hereinafter referred to as the ‘University’).

2. In view of the pleadings on record, it appears that substantial payment of almost Rs. 25 lakhs have been made to the petitioners which are the admitted retiral dues. Though, learned counsel for the petitioners prayed for interest, in view of the fact that the petitioners retired in the year 2015 and within three years, the payments have been made and that too, a substantial amount, the Court finds that in such cases, it may not be appropriate to award further interest.

3. Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

