

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40830 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -93 Year- 2017 Thana -MANPUR District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

Switi Kumar @ Kumari Sweta @ Sweta Kumari @ Switi Kumari, W/O
Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Yadav, R/o Mohalla- Sadaha, P.S.- Sarmera,
District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mustaque Alam, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in **Manpur P.S.**

Case No. 93 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 341,
323, 419, 420 and 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
agreement was entered into between husband of the petitioner and
the complainant. The petitioner was merely the witness on the
aforesaid agreement which will be apparent from the written
report itself. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner
of taking money from the informant. Petitioner is lady

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Manpur P.S. Case No. 93 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-III, Nalanda at Biharsharif**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

