

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.35471 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2 Year- 2018 Thana -ARER District- MADHUBANI

- =====
1. Ramashray Chaupal @ Ramashray Mandal, Son of Late Matwar Mandal,
 2. Manju Devi, Wife of Ramashray Chaupal,
 3. Jitendra Chaupal @ Jitendra Mandal, Son of Ramashray Chaupal,
- All are resident of Village- Bishanpur, Police Station- Saharghat, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Matloob Rab, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in **Arer P.S. Case No. 2 of 2018** instituted for the offence under Sections 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are parents-in-law and *Bhainsur* of the deceased. It has further been submitted that husband of the deceased is already in custody.

In the written report there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners.



Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Arer P.S. Case No. 2 of 2018**, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Benipatti**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel their bail bond and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-
Rohit Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

