

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12673 of 2017

=====

Baliwant Singh @ Balwant Singh Yadav, Son of Sri Kesho Singh @ Sri Ram Kesho Singh, Resident of Village-Karamchat (Adampur) P.S.-Karamchat (Sabar), District-Kaimur (Bhabhau)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation, Water Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources, Dehri on Sone.
6. The Commissioner, Patna Division Patna-Cum-Chairman, Durgawati Jalashay Pariyajona Samanaway Sammittee.
7. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. The District Magistrate, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
9. The Superintending Engineer, Durgawati Constructin Division, Bhitari bandh, Kaimur.
10. The Special Land Acquisition, Sone Yojana, Sasaram.
11. The Rehabilitation Officer, Durgawati Jalasay Yojana, Sasaram.
12. The Circle Officer, Rampur, Kaimur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar - AAG4
Mr. Brajesh Kumar, AC to AAG4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the State and perused the records of the case.

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking relief for his appointment in the State Government employment on the ground that his entire land has been acquired under the



“Durgawati Jalasay Priyojna” and has also made a prayer for quashing the letter no. 650 dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure – 9) by which Deputy Secretary Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation Department, Bihar, Patna has rejected the claim of the petitioner.

As per the claim of the petitioner that his entire land has been utilized in the construction of “Durgawati Jalasay Priyojna” and as per the Government Circular he is entitled for his employment in the Government service.

The land was acquired in the year 1976 and accordingly the list of land ousted was prepared of those persons whose entire land was consumed in the construction of that “Durgawati Jalasay Priyojna”. The name of the father, Sri Kesho Singh @ Sri Ram Kesho Singh was also listed and he was also given employment and in that list the name of the petitioner is not there.

At the relevant time, his age was only 15 years. As per the affidavit he has given his age is 46 years going back to the year 1976 certainly he will be minor. As per the Government policy only one member of the family was given the benefit of employment so the question of second benefit that too after long lapse of time does not arise.

This Court does not find any merit in this writ petition,



accordingly, it is dismissed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/Sunny

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	4.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

