

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13519 of 2017

=====

Hiralal Ram, son of Late Bhagal Ram, resident of Village- Math Banwari,
Post & Police Station- Pipara Kothi, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna. null null
3. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna. null null
4. The Engineer-in- Chief-cum- Additional Commissioner-cum- Special Secretary, Flood Control and Water Drainage, Water Resource Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
5. Engineer-in- Chief, Headquarter, Water Resource Department, Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna. null null
6. The Joint Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
7. The Joint Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
8. The Deputy Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
9. The Under Secretary (Management), Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, Patna.
10. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
11. The Secretary (Expenditure), Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
12. Accountant General (A & E), Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratnesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Harsh Kumar - GP8
For A.G. : Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan (SC)
Mr. Niraj Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 03-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for
the State.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the fact of the



present case is identical to C.W.J.C. No. 7245 of 2017 (Shankar Bhagwan Prasad Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.), the aforesaid order squarely covers the issue involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the case of petitioner and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid case, the same benefit should also be extended to petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations and directions this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/Sunny

U			
---	--	--	--

