

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.5835 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -121 Year- 2017 Thana -DINARA District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Vijay Shankar Chaudhary S/o Late Ram Nagina Chaudhary @
Nagina Chaudhary, R/o Village- Daidaha, P.S.- Dinara, District- Rohtas at
Sasaram.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akbar Ali, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

2 01-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP.

Name withheld aged about 14 years daughter of
informant, did not return after meeting nature's call on 28.04.2017
at about 8.00 PM, informant had gone in search of her and during
course thereof, came to know that his co-villager, Bipin
Chaudhary has enticed her away. Then thereafter, he had gone to
the place of petitioner and requested him to get her daughter
produced whereupon, he took five days' time and even thereafter,
the victim has not been handed over to him. On the other hand,
informant was scolded by the petitioner along with others. From
the statement recorded under Section 164, the victim had disclosed
that Pappu Chaudhary, Tempoo Chaudhary, Vijay Chaudhary and
one more person to be responsible for her kidnapping and carrying



her to Delhi. Both two appears to be contradictory to each other in the background of the fact that when informant had met with the petitioner on the day of incident itself then in that event, there was no possibility for the petitioner to have joined the victim up to Delhi.

That being so, petitioner, Vijay Shankar Chaudhary is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Rohtas at Sasaram in Dinara PS Case No. 121/2017.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

