

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.335 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1765 of 2017

-
1. Shashi Bhushan Sharma S/o Late Kameshwar Sharma, Resident of Village- Janardanpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, District- Samastipur, at present working as Reservation Supervisor, E.C. Railway, Hajipur.
 2. Manish Kumar S/o Shri Radheshyam Prasad, Resident of Village- Bandhar, P.S.- Hathori, District- Samastipur, at present working as Reservation Supervisor, Samastipur.
 3. Abhilasha Kumari, W/o Sri Amar Kumar Gupta, Resident of Village- Birsinghpur, P.S.- Kalyanpur, District- Samastipur, at present working as Reservation Supervisor, Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Government of India, Ministry of Railway, New Delhi.
2. The General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager, Samastipur Railway Division, ECR, Samastipur.
4. The Divisional Railway Manager (Personnel), Samastipur Rail Division, ECR, Samastipur.
5. The Senior Divisional Commercial Manager, Samastipur Rail Division, ECR, Samastipur.
6. The Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, DRM/P, ECR, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Suneil Kumar Thakur
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S.D Sanjay (Addl. Soc. Gen.)
		Mr. Abhinay Raj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

4 27-06-2018 We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

counsel for the Railways.

We are not in agreement with the submission made by

the learned counsel for the petitioners that there is an error in the



order, dated 24.07.2017, passed by the Division Bench in recording that there were limited number of seats and that is why people were being sent in batches for training. All these aspects have been well examined from the documents and that is why the Court came to a considered opinion that the learned Tribunal was in error to the said extent and that is why the order of the Tribunal was set aside to a limited extent and a direction was given for giving opportunity of training from the date from which the benefit would arrive.

No error apparent, therefore, emerges from the impugned order, which requires correction in the Civil Review Application.

Civil Review application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

