

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2032 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No. -500 Year- 2017 Thana -KOTWALI District- PATNA

=====

Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Ranjan Kumar, Son of Munnilal Mistry, Resident of
Village- Harna, P.S.- Kaler, District- Jehanabad, At present resident of
Navratanpur Kirana Store, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dharendra Kumar Roy,
Mrs. Usha Kumari Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party: Mr. Jitendra Kr. Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 12.11.2017 in
connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 500 of 2017 for the offences
alleged under Sections 356 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated as he was bonafide purchaser of the mobile phone
without knowledge that it was a stolen mobile phone. The
confessional statement of co-accused Rajesh Kumar and other
accused persons (Annexure-2/1) discloses that they were the
persons who had stolen the mobile phone and sold it to the present
petitioner. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of
the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above
named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-
(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the
satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna in
connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 500 of 2017, on the following



conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv)The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

