

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2722 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2564 of 2014

=====

Nalin Vilochan, Son of Late Loger Hansda, resident of Village- Narhi, P.S.-
Haveli Kharagpur, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh, the Chief Secretary,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. Mr. Amrit Lal Mina, Secretary, Road Construction Department, Bihar,
Patna.
3. Mr. Rajendra Prasad Gupta, Special Secretary, Road Construction
Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Rajendra Prasap Gupta, Additional Secretary, Road Construction
Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Gyan Chandra, Joint Secretary, Road Construction Department,
Bihar, Patna.
6. Mr. Rajnish Kumar, Deputy Secretary (Vigilance), Road Construction
Department, Bihar, Patna.

.... Opp.Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhu Nath Pathak, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Prasad Yadav, AAG 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL ORDER

4 02-05-2018 A show cause is being filed on behalf of O.P.No.6 in

which it is stated that the order is complied and the petitioner has

been given the consequential benefits arising from the judgment

and order of this Court passed in C.W.J.C.No. 2564/2014 from

which the present contempt application arises.

Mr. P.N.Pathak, learned counsel appearing for the

petitioner, while admitting to the submission made by Mr.

R.B.P.Yadav, learned AAG-11, submits that even though some of

the consequential benefits have been received by the petitioner but



differential arrears during the period of suspension has not been paid. According to the learned counsel, once the punishment order has been quashed, the petitioner would become entitled to full salary for the suspension period which has not been given to him rather he remains on subsistence allowance.

In the nature of the order of this court passed on the writ petition, for the present I would give liberty to the petitioner to raise his grievance before the authority concerned and who shall consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law bearing in mind the effect of the judgment and order of this Court on the writ petition as well as to its implementation by the respondents themselves. An expeditious disposal within four weeks would serve the purpose.

This disposes of the contempt application.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

