

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6530 of 2016**

=====

Chetan Anand Son of Late Ramjiwan Prasad resident of village - Gupta Market, Raja Bazar, Patna, PIN 800014, Permanent Address - Professor Colony, Kalam Bagh Road, Aghoria Bazar, Muzaffarpur, District - Muzaffarpur

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna 800001
2. The Joint Secretary, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna 800001
3. The Director, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna 800001
4. The Director in Chief and the Conducting officer, ( Mental Illness and Food and Drug Administration ) Health Service and Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna 800001
5. The State Drug Controller, Health Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna 800001
6. The Civil Surgeon, Sadar Hospital, Nawada, District Nawada
7. The District Magistrate, District Collectariat, Nawada, District Nawada
8. The Additional District Magistrate ( A.D.M. ) Nawada District Nawada
9. The Public Complaint Cell, Nawada, District Collectariat, District Nawada
10. The Sub - Divisional officer, Sub Division Sadar Nawada, District Nawada
11. The Sub - Divisional officer, Sub Division Rajouli, District Nawada
12. The Drug Inspectors, District Collectariat, Nawada

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jayram Sharma  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      13-09-2018      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the facts of the present case are identical to the case of The State of Bihar & Ors. Vs. Gyan Kumar Ram & Ors., reported in 2009 (4) PLJR 272



and Ayodhya Nath Shukla Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors., reported in 2016 (1) PLJR 260, the aforesaid order squarely covers the issue involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and prayer of the case of petitioner and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid case, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J)**

Vinay/Sunny

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

