

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.56562 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2017 Thana -KARJA District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Jitu Ram, Son of Shivchandra Ram, Resident of Village- Chiknouta, Police
Station- Karja, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hari Mohan Mishra, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 31-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Karja P.S.**

Case No. 23 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 341,
323, 324, 307, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
he is not named in the First Information Report. His name has
come during investigation in the First Information Report. There
is allegation against co-accused Neeraj Kumar of assaulting the
wife of the informant on her neck with knife (*Chhura*)

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within
six weeks from today, in connection with **Karja P.S. Case No. 23**



of 2017, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate (West), Muzaffarpur**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and their absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

