

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1198 of 2016

=====

Shri Triguna Nand Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union of India Through Sri Hara Nanda The Chief Security
Commissioner Railway Protection Force & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramchandra Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. D.K. Sinha, Senior Advocate
Mr. Kumar Alok, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

3 10-01-2018

From the counter affidavit filed by the respondents,

it is clear that the entire claim of the petitioner has been settled.

Grievance of the petitioner made orally is that payment of
Rs.2,56,245/- made by Bank advice vide Annexure-R/2 dated
23.5.2013 has not been paid to the petitioner.

The Bank advice, Annexure-R/2 indicates that it is
an advice to the Bank to credit the amount in the account of the
petitioner.

Even though the show cause has been filed on
2.2.2017, there is no rebuttal to the same by way of filing reply to
the show cause. In case the amount, as indicated in Annexure-R/2
has not been credited to the account of the petitioner, the Railway
Administration is directed to take necessary action for ensuring
its deposit and credit in the account of the petitioner within a



period of one month from the date of receipt/production of a copy
of this order.

With the aforesaid, the application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

N.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

