

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.54573 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -84 Year- 2014 Thana -MUFFASIL District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Ranjan Ram, Son of Vijay Ram, resident of Village- Bhagat Tenuda,
P.S.- Hariharganj, Distt.- Palamu (Jharkhand).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Aurangabad (M)

P.S. Case No. 84 of 2014 instituted for the offence under Sections
394 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner
has come in the confessional statement of co-accused namely
Rakesh Kumar Gupta. There is no recovery of any article from
possession of this petitioner.

It is submitted by learned APP that four cases has been
lodged against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
petitioner has been acquitted in three cases and he is on bail in one
case.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is



allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Aurangabad (M) P.S. Case No. 84 of 2014 to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Aurangabad, Bihar subject to condition as laid down u/S 438 (2) of the Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every dated fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bonds of the petitioner and (3) if the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

