

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.738 of 2018

=====

Rohit Kumar, Son of Birendra Singh, Resident of Mohalla- Rajopatti Ward
No.11, Adarsh Nagar, P.S.- Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector/District Magistrate- Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
3. The Excise Deputy Commissioner, Sitamarhi.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
5. The Superintendent of Excise, Sitamarhi.
6. The S.I. Kapu Nath Sharma S.H.O. of Pupri P.S. District- Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Virendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad (GP-7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 27-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

Petitioner has prayed for release of the vehicle TVS Apache Motorcycle bearing Reg. No. BR-30J-8286, which has been seized by the police in connection with Pupri P.S. Case No.298 of 2017, District-Sitamarhi for the offence under Sections 30(a), 38 and 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016. It is alleged that 51 liters of Nepali Wine have been recovered from the vehicle in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions



which may be imposed by this Court for provisional release of the vehicle in question.

Considering the facts and circumstances, pending initiation or finalization of the confiscation proceeding, let the vehicle of the petitioner be released provisionally within one week from the date of production of proof of ownership and registration of the vehicle in favour of the petitioner subject to the following conditions:-

(i) Petitioner shall furnish a surety in form of a bank guarantee or by deposit of original title deeds of immovable property lying within the jurisdiction of the authority concerned or any other security of like nature valued at Rs. 60,000/- (sixty thousand) to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi/authority concerned.

(ii) The petitioner shall also give an undertaking that he will not deal with the vehicle in question and shall not alienate or encumber the same creating any kind of adverse interest against the interest of the State, he will not use the vehicle for any illegal purpose and as and when required,



he will produce the vehicle in question before the competent court/authority.

(iii) At the time of release, the concerned authority/court shall get prepared a photograph duly certified in presence of the petitioner and panchnama of the vehicle in question shall also be prepared and keep the same on record which may be used as secondary evidence.

(iv) Petitioner shall undertake not to challenge the said photograph and panchnama so prepared in his presence at the time of release of vehicle in question for use in course of trial or the confiscation proceeding, as the case may be.

The application stands disposed of.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

