

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8186 of 2018

=====

Jang Bahadur Singh, Chairman, Kashimpur PACS, Son of Sri Kharagdhari Singh,
Resident of Village-Kashimpur, Post-Ahok, P.S. and District-Khagaria.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Collector, Khagaria, District-Khagaria.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria, District-Khagaria.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Kumar Labh, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, AC to SC-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 14-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ application has been filed for the
following reliefs --

“(i) For quashing and setting aside the order passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria and issued vide Memo No. 406 dated 25/07/2015 whereby and whereunder license of the petitioner’s Fair Price Shop bearing No. 13K/2012 has been cancelled contained in Annexure-1 and quashing and setting aside the order dated 10/10/2017 passed by the learned Collector, Khagaria in Supply Appeal Case No. 03/16-17 contained in Annexure-4 whereby and whereunder appeal filed by the petitioner against the cancellation order has been rejected and affirmed the cancellation order.



(ii) A mandamus commanding the Respondents to restore the petitioner's license as before and to make allotment for the petitioner's shop.

(iii) Any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner may be found entitled in the fact and circumstances of the present case may be granted to him."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short submission to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of the enquiry report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in paragraph 13 of the writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order. Such infirmity could not be cured in the appeal as well.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard. The stand of the petitioner with regard to non-supply of the enquiry report has not been controverted, as no counter affidavit has been filed till date.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The appellate order dated 10.10.2017 passed by the Collector,



Khagaria in Supply Appeal Case No. 13 of 2016-17 (Annexure-4) and the impugned order dated 25.07.2015 (Annexure-1) are hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria (Respondent No. 3) for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/ NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	21 .05.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

