

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4935 of 2013

-
-
1. Sangeeta Kumari Wife Of Kameshwar Singh Resident Of Village- Khawasepur (Bhuwali Tola) P.S. Yadopur, Distt.- Gopalganj
 2. Vimla Kumari D/O Amil Kumar Sharma Resident Of Village- Khanpur Khairari, P.S. Hussainganj, District- Siwan
 3. Indu Devi Wife Of Kedarnath Prasad Resident Of Village- Dhobablia, P.S. Manjhagarh, District- Gopalganj
 4. Naushad Ali Ansari S/O Raja Ansari Resident Of Village- Kamanpura, P.S. Manjha District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary Human Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Education Officer (D.E.O.), Gopalganj
3. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj
4. The District Programme Officer (D.P.O.) Establishment District- Gopalganj
5. The B.E.E.O., Manjha Block, District- Gopalganj
6. The Panchayat Secretary Of Panchayat Raj Koini, Block Manjha, District- Gopalganj
7. The Chairman-Cum-Mukhia Appointment Committee Panchayat Raj Koini, Distt.- Gopalganj
8. The Headmaster, Rajkiya Prathmik Vidyalaya, Imalia, Block Manjha, District- Gopalganj
9. The Head Master, Nav Srijit Primary School, Koini, West Tola, Distt.- Gopalganj
10. The Headmaster, Nav Srijit Primary School, Koini, Balua Tola, Gopalganj
11. The Headmaster, Primary School, Imilia, P.S. Manjha, District- Gopalganj

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Niraj Kumar, AC to GA-10

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-11-2018

It appears from the order dated 3.2.2014 that the State counsel was directed to obtain instruction on the issue of implementation of the direction issued by the Tribunal. Although, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioners on the previous occasion and today also no one appears on behalf of the



petitioner , on instruction learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners have been appointed pursuant to the direction issued by the Tribunal, probably that may be the reason that petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the present writ petition and as such no one appeared on behalf of the petitioners on the previous occasion and even today no one appears. Under the aforesaid circumstances, the Court accept the submissions made on behalf of the State that the grievance of the petitioners have been redressed and as such the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

On the basis of the submissions made by counsel for the State, the present writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioners if the submissions made at the bar by the State counsel is found incorrect to approach this Court for revival of the present writ petition.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	6.11.2018
Transmission Date	

