

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18732 of 2013**

=====

1. Sanjeev Kumar, Son Of Mithilesh Kumar Pandey, Resident of LIG, H- 10, Kankarbagh Colony, P.O. & P.S. Kankarbagh, District Patna

2. Kumar Gautam,

3. Kunal Singh,

2 & 3 sons of Late Prafulla Prasad Singh Resident Of 17, LIG, Kankarbagh Colony, P.O. & P.S. Kankarbagh, Dist. Patna

4. Trigunanand Mishra, son of Late Pashunath Mishra Resident of B-63, Buddha Colony, P.S. Buddha Colony, District Patna

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

2. The Principal Secretary-cum-State Transport Commissioner, Department of Transport, Government of Bihar, Patna

3. The Bihar State Transport Authority, through its Chairman, Bihar, Patna

4. The South Bihar Regional Transport Authority, Patna through Its Secretary, Patna

5. The Chairman, South Bihar Regional Transport Authority, Patna

6. The District Transport Officer, Patna

.... .... Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ambuj Nayan Chaubey, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Vinay Kriti Singh, SC 25

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 06-09-2018**

The present writ petition has been filed for the following

reliefs –

“(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction including a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent authorities not to give effect to the notice dated 21.07.2013 as published in newspaper dated 21.07.2013 as the same is contemptuous being in violation of the order dated 25.11.2008 passed in CWJC No. 7000/2008 by this Hon’ble Court and the matter in issue therein is no



more res-integra;

- (ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction including a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notice, dated 21.07.2013 issued by the Principal Secretary-Cum-State Transport Commissioner, Bihar, Patna and published in daily newspaper dated 21.07.2003, whereby and where under the public transport owners whose vehicles have become 15 years old have been directed to replace them with new vehicles within a period of three months failing which the existing stage carriage permits/their renewal would be cancelled;
- (iii) For grant of such other relief/reliefs as the petitioners will be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.”

2. Mr. Ambuj Nayan Chaubey, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the grievance of the petitioners has been redressed during the pendency of the writ petition and hence, the same need not be pressed.

3. The writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

B.T/Chandran

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 14.09.2018 |
| Transmission Date | N.A.       |

