

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12573 of 2013

=====

Arun Kumar Mishra Son of Late Ram Narayan Mishra Resident Of Village
Chand Sarai, Police Station Mahua and District Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through The Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Government of India, New Delhi
2. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. having Its Registered Office at Bharat Bhavan, 4 & 6 , Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate, Post Box No. 688, Mumbai - 400001 through its General Manager
3. General Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. having its Registered Office at Bharat Bhavan, 4 & 6 , Currimbhoy Road, Ballard Estate, Post Box No. 688, Mumbai - 400001
4. Regional Manager, Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. , Patna LPG Territory and Bottling Plant, Fatuha Industrial Area, Fatuha, Patna 803201
5. Territory Manager (LPG) , Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Patna LPG Territory and Bottling Plant, Fatuha Industrial Area, Fatuha, Patna 803201

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Adv
Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-08-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order no. PT:216:Hajipur(GP) dated 25.04.2013 of the Territory Manager (LPG), Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Patna whereby he was pleased to reject the candidature of the petitioner for award of LPG Distributorship at Hajipur, District Hajipur, State Bihar in Category GP RGGLV Plan:2011-12; for directing the respondent authorities to grant LPG distributorship to the petitioner; and for connected reliefs.



2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated calls. The petitioner was not represented also on 14.08.2018 when the matter was called.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents invites reference to para 10 of the counter affidavit, according to which LPG distributorship has been awarded to Smt. Sushila Singh and the same has been commissioned in the name and style of M/s Aditi Bharatgas on 29.03.2014 itself.

4. Considering the lack of interest shown by the petitioner as well as the fact that the distributorship has already been commissioned as far back as on 29.03.2014 itself, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter. The stand of the respondents has not been controverted by the petitioner and no rejoinder has been filed. Moreover, the petitioner has not taken steps to implead Smt. Sushila Singh as party respondent even though a copy of the counter affidavit was served on the petitioner in November, 2017.

5. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

