IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.33 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -98 Year- 2015 Thana -SC/ST District- NALANDA (BIHARSHARIFF)

==========

1. Kaushal Yadav, Son of Ravindra Yadav.
2. Binay Yadav @ Ram Balak Yadav, Son of Kashi Yadav.
3. Bindeshwari Arya, Wife of Binay Yadav, @ Ram Balak Yadav, (as F.I.R. Binay Yadav ke Patni). All are Residents of Mohalla-Ganjper, P.S. Rajgir, District-Nalanda.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr. Premchandra Yadav, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt Usha Kumari No-1, SPP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 09-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Nalanda, in Rajgir SC/ST Police Station Case No.98 of 2015 registered under Sections 341/323/504/506/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(i)(x) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The F.I.R. prima facie discloses offence said to have committed under the provisions of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. Therefore,

WEB COPY

bar under Section 18 of the Act to entertain anticipatory bail application is applicable.

Accordingly, I do not find any merit in this appeal. Hence, it stands dismissed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Mkr./-

U		T	

WEB COPY