

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15628 of 2012

=====

Ajay Krishna Sinha, aged about 42 years, S/O Shri Uma Shankar Prasad Sinha, C/O Anita Shrivastav, Apartment No.-3 D, Chanda Puri Enclave, Near Nehru Nagar Nala, West Boaring Canal Road, P.S.- Shri Krishna Puri, Town And District-Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1.The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Health-cum-Chief Executive Officer State Health Society, Bihar, Patna.
2. Secretary, Health-cum-Executive Director, State Health Society, Parivar Kalyan Bhavan, Sheikhpura, Patna-800014.
3. Senior Deputy Collector-Cum-I/C Human Resources, State Health Society, Parivar Kalayan Bhavan, Sheikhpura, Patna-800014.
4. The State Programme Officer, (Ayush) Parivar Kalayan Bhavan, State Health Society, Sheikhpura, Patna- 800014.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramendra Nath Mukhopadhyay, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, AC to SC 8
For the Health Society : Mr. K.K. Sinha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-09-2018

This matter was last taken on 14.09.2018 when learned State counsel as well as Mr. K.K Sinha for the State Health Society was present, however, a prayer for adjournment was made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner who had to attend bereavement ceremony in the family. On the request made that the matter was posted today when none appears to press this application.

Mr. Sinha, learned counsel for the Society informs that the appointment of the writ petitioner as Programme Manager (Ayush) was contractual and terminable on one month notice. In



reference to the termination order dated 27.07.2012 impugned at Annexure-1 to the writ petition he submits that it is after giving one month notice that the order of termination was passed which is in tune with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the fact that the termination order is in tune with the terms and conditions of the contract as also the fact that the nature of appointment was contractual for one year only, I find no infirmity with the termination order.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/Ranveer

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.09.2018
Transmission Date	NA

