

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9624 of 2013

=====

1. Anuradha Bharti Wife Of Devendra Kumar Resident Of Village Tankuppa Tola Pheru Bigha, P.O. Tankuppa P.S. Wazirganj, O.P. Tankuppa, District Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Patna
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya
4. The District Programme Officer, Gaya
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Fatehpur Tankuppa, District Gaya
6. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Tankuppa, P.O. Tankuppa P.S. Wazirganj, O.P. Tankuppa, District Gaya
7. The Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat Tankuppa Prakhand Tankuppa, P.S. Wazirganj, O.P. Tankuppa, District Gaya
8. Sabita Kumari @ Savita Kumari Wife Of Ashok Singh Resident Of Village Tankuppa, P.O. Tankuppa, P.S. Wazirganj, O.P. Tankuppa, District Gaya

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raisul Haque

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, AC to GP 22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

6 29-08-2018 Counsel for the respondent State submits that the claim of the petitioner has a chequered history as earlier also she had approached this Court in other writ proceeding, details of which have been given in para 10 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No. 5.

Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner, without going into merits of the assertions made by the Counsel for the respondent State the writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Snkumar/-

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

U			
---	--	--	--

