

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6539 of 2015

=====

M/s Hi-tech Electrodes Private Limited, Giddha Industrial Area, Ara,
District Bhojpur, through its Director, Manish Agrawal, son of Shri
Pradyumna Krishna Agrawal, resident of Mahavir Tola, Ara, District
Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan,
Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director.
2. The South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan,
Bailey Road, Patna, through its Managing Director.
3. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Ara,
District Bhojpur.
4. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Electric Supply Sub Division,
Koilwar, District Bhojpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate
Mr. Indrajesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Sajal Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Senior Advocate
Mr. Vijay Kumar Verma, Advocate
Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 09-10-2018 The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs :-

“ (i) For quashing of the energy bill dated
16.03.2015 issued by the respondents contrary to
the order passed in the case of the petitioner itself,
in Registered Case No. 32 of 2011 by the
Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum.

(ii) For a declaration that the impugned
bill raised on the basis of minimum guarantee is
contrary to the earlier stand of the respondent



authorities who had granted exemption till December, 2013.

(iii) For a declaration that exemption cannot be denied merely on the ground that the petitioner had changed category from LTIS-II to LTIS-II (Demand Based Tariff).

(iv) For a direction to the respondent authorities not to take any coercive step against the petitioner like disconnection of electric supply, during pendency of the present writ application.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset states that the grievance of the petitioner has since been redressed and the relevant benefits of the industrial policy have now been extended to the petitioner during the pendency of the writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent- Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd. appears.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

N.H./- Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--

