

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1781 of 2015

- =====
1. Manoj Kumar Singh S/O Sri Nawal Kishore Singh Resident of Mohalla-Moldaiyar Tola, ward no.7, P.O+P.S-Mokama, Distt.-Patna.
 2. Satyendra Kumar Singh S/O Sri Kailash Singh Resident of vill-Asharhi, P.O-Kadirganj, P.S & Distt-Nawadah
 3. Rajiv Ranjan Prasad S/O Sri Kailash Prasad Yadav Resident of vill+P.O-Hasanpur, P.S+Distt.-Madhepura
 4. Shailendra Kumar Singh S/O Late Jeet Narayan Singh Resident of vill-Gangajal, P.O-Kharika, P.S-Sonepur, Distt.-Saran
 5. Shushil Kumar Singh S/O Sri Triveni Prasad Mandal Resident of vill-Maruwaha, P.O+P.S-Bharrahi Bazar, Distt.-Madhepura
 6. Pankaj Kumar S/O Sri Dharendra Prasad Yadav Resident of vill-Pararia, P.O+P.S+Distt.-Madhepura
 7. Md. Soyeb S/O Ibrahim Resident of vill-Bidupur Pathan Toli, P.S-Bidupur, Distt.-Vaishali at Hajipur
 8. Nirdhan Singh S/O Sri Sital Lal Singh Resident of vill-Uchi Dih, P.O+P.S-Bidupur, Distt.-Vaishali at Hajipur
 9. Gyan Chand Prasad S/O Sri Mauzi Jamadar Resident of vill-Malbigaha, P.S-Hilsa, Distt.-Nalanda
 10. Rajesh Lal Ranjan S/O Jaikant Lal Das Resident of vill+P.O-Vachchi, Distt.-Darbhanga
 11. Birendra Rai S/o Sri Chandrika Rai Resident of vill-Jhangirpur, P.O-Suhai, Shahpur, Distt-Chapra, Saran
- Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Commissioner cum Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Old Secretariat,, Patna.
3. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Budh Marg, Patna
4. The Joint Director, Consolidation, Headquater, Budh Marg, Patna
5. The Dy. Director, Consolidation, Headquater, Budh Marg, Patna
6. The Dy. Director, Consolidation, Vaishali at Hajipur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Siya Ram Sahi, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Gajendra Prasad Yadav, SC 17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the respondent State.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that
ever since 1987 up till year 2004 petitioners have intermittently



worked for considerable period against the post which they were engaged. He submits that in the light of resolution dated 16.3.2006 bearing no. 639 issued by the State Government they would be making their claim for benefits of regularisation thereunder in view of the fact that even today, the work being performed by them, is required to the Department.

In view of the limited submission, made by learned counsel for the petitioners, and since leaned counsel for the State has not objection to the filing of any such representation by the petitioners before the respondents, this Court would only observe that if the petitioners are keen on making representation for consideration of their claim, they should make their claim before respondent no. 2 within a period of four weeks. In the event, representation is made, the petitioners' claim would be considered in accordance with law subject to availability/requirement by the respondents within a period of six months thereof.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	5.9.2018
Transmission Date	NA

