

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8247 of 2015**

=====

1. Awadhesh Kumar Rai @ Awadhesh Kumar Son of Late Haribansh Rai
2. Vikash Kumar Son of Akash Patel
3. Vishal Kumar Son of Akash Patel
4. Mithilesh Kumar Son of Late Haribansh Rai
5. Ratnesh Kumar Son of Late Haribansh Rai
6. Akhilesh Kumar Son of Late Haribansh Rai
7. Lalbabu Rai Son of Late Tej Narayan Rai
8. Gaytri Devi wife of Akash Patel
9. Santosh Kumar Son of Awadhesh Kumar
10. Radha Kumari Wife of Mithilesh Kumar
11. Pinki Devi Wife of Akhilesh Kumar
12. Sudha Devi wife of Ratnesh Kumar
13. Rajeev Kumar Rai @ Rajeev Kumar Son of Awadhesh Kumar Rai
14. Baidyanath Rai Son of Late Tej Narayan Rai All Resident of Village - Mahua Singrai, P.S.- Mahua, District- Vaishali.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Vaishali.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua, District- Vaishali.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali at Hajipur.
5. The Block Development Officer, Mahua, Vaishali.
6. The Circle Officer, Mahua, Dist. Vaishali.
7. The Circle Inspector, Mahua, Dist. Vaishali.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP18-VIVEK PRASAD

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL JUDGMENT

**Date: 20-08-2018**

The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents authority to settle the shops in favour of petitioners on monthly rent or for a long time settlement on the rent fixed by the respondents authority as the petitioners, who are interested to take the shops in question



on rent and by on the basis of long term lease and are willing to pay the monthly rent fixed by the department; and for connected reliefs.

2, Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representations dated 19.06.2014 and 11.12.2014 have been filed before the District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur for settlement of shops in his favour, but the same has not been considered.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

4. Having regard to the nature of the prayer of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Vaishali (Respondent no. 2) to dispose of the petitioner’s representations dated 19.06.2014 and 11.12.2014, if not already disposed of, within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this judgment.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Chandran/BT

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 26.08.2018 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

