

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3582 of 2015

=====

Rakesh Kumar Son of Prem Lal Rai Resident of village - Ratanpur, P.O.
Kishanpur, District – Samastipur

.... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director Jan Siksha Cum Secretary Rajya Sakharta Mission Pradhikaran, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Samastipur
4. The Chairman, Zila Parishad Cum Chairman District Selection Committee Sakhar Bharat Mission, Samastipur
5. The Chairman/President Lok Sikhsa Samiti, Samastipur
6. The District Education Officer, Samastipur
7. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur
8. The District Programme Officer (Sakharta), Samastipur
9. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Samastipur

.... **Respondents**

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate &
Mr. Kundan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Niraj Kumar, AC to GA 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 13-08-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner and the State are present.

It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that the writ petition has become infructuous since the post is no longer functional.

Be that as it may, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the order dated 13.5.2015 his service has been taken back but he has not been paid honorarium for the duty discharged by him subsequent to his reinstatement under order dated 13.5.2015. He also complained that he has not been paid for



the intermittent period.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will submit his claim for payment of honorarium for the period during which he has discharged the function of Chief Programme Co-ordinator in the office of the District Programme Officer, Samastipur.

Let the petitioner submit his application before respondent no.8 within a period of four weeks from today. In the event such an application is filed, respondent no.8 would be obliged to consider his claim with regard to number of days which he has worked and dispose of the claim by reasoned order within a period of three months thereafter. It is expected that in the aforesaid time frame, the amount found admissible and due to the petitioner, would be paid to him.

The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations and directions.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.8.2018
Transmission Date	NA

