

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5504 of 2015

=====

Reeta Polina Trikey, wife of Late Peter Tirkey, resident of R.C. Mission, SoSo, P.S. Gumla, District – Gumla, Jharkhand, presently resident at laxmi Bhawan, Patliputra Colony, Near Alpna Market, P.S.- Patliputra, Patna-800013, Bihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Life Insurance Corporation of India through its Zonal Manager, East Central Zonal Office, 5th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Exhibition Road, Patna.
2. The Senior Divisional Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India, Jeevan Deep Building, Exhibition Road, Patna.
3. The Zonal Vigilance Officer, East Central Zonal Office, Jeevan Deep Building, Exhibition Road, Patna.
4. The Senior Divisional Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India,, Divisional Office, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
5. The Branch Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India, C.R.D. Ranchi, Jharkhand.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondent/s (LIC) : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Abhimanyu Vatsa, Advocate

Mr. Rajni Kant Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-10-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs :-

- “ (i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the office order No. HDO/claims/Rep dated 04.01.2014 issued by the respondent Senior Divisional Manager, Life Insurance Corporation of India, Divisional Office, Hazaribagh whereby the Death claim of Late husband of the petitioner under Policy No. 546971636 has been rejected in a most arbitrary



manner.

- (ii) For issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding the respondents to release the total sum assured of Rs. 8,25,000/- under Policy No. 546971636 i.e. the Death claim of late husband of the petitioner in her favour as she is the nominee of the said policy.”

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents invites reference to paragraph-6 of the counter affidavit stating that pursuant to the decision of the Central Office Claim Dispute Redressal Committee, the claim of Policy No. 546971636 relating to the petitioner’s husband amounting to Rs. 8,25,000/- has since been paid on 28.01.2016.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the aforesaid stand of the respondents.

4. Having regard to the above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Vikash Jain, J)

N.H./- Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	05-10-2018
Transmission Date	N/A

