

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.491 of 2016

In
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5474 of 2012

=====

Bhagya Narayan Yadav, S/o Late Bhatu Prasad Yadav, Resident of Muhalla-
Bhabha Colony, Hanuman Nagar, P.S. -New Patrakar Nagar, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Its Principal Secretary Mr. Amrit Lal Meena,
Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Mr. Jay Singh, I.A.S., the Principal Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive
Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Budh Marg, Patna.
3. Mr. Ajay Kumar, the Executive Officer, Patna City Circle, Patna Municipal
Corporation, Patna.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Sri Shanti Pratap
For the Opposite Parties : A.C. to G.A. No. 1
Sri Ranjit Kumar Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 12-12-2018 Heard Sri Shanti Pratap, learned counsel for the
petitioner, learned A.C. to G.A. No. 1 as well as Sri Ranjit
Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the Patna Municipal
Corporation [hereinafter referred to as the “P.MC.”].

The present petition has been filed with a prayer to
initiate contempt proceeding against opposite parties primarily
on an allegation of willful disobedience to an order dated –
15.07.2014 passed in M.J.C. No. 5474 of 2012.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that despite disposal of L.P.A. No. 960 of 2007 the Corporation
is not taking any steps for payment. However, on going through



the order it appears that contempt petition which was earlier filed stood disposed of on 15.07.2014 however while disposing of it was observed that the Court expects that after disposal of L.P.A. No. 960 of 2007 the Corporation will take immediate steps. Sri Pandey, learned counsel for the Corporation submits that after disposal of L.P.A. No. 960 of 2007 the admissible amount of Rs. 88,871/- has already been paid to the petitioner.

In view of the facts disclosed in paragraph 7 of the show cause, of- course learned counsel for the petitioner disputes that some more amount is required to be paid, the Court is of the opinion that in contempt jurisdiction it would be difficult for this court to examine such claim.

The contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

