

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1105 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23183 of 2011

-
-
1. Dharamshila Kumari Daughter of Late Radhe Shyam Singh resident of Village - Chandinama, P.S. - Kashichak, District - Nawada.
 2. Pushpa Kumari Daughter of Shri Surendra Prasad Singh resident of Village - Dedhgaon, P.S. - Kashichak, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. R.K.Mahajan Son of Not Known The Principal Secretary Human Resources Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Shridhar C Son of Not Known The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Manoj Kumar Son of not known the District Magistrate, Nawada.
4. Sri S.N. Kaiser Sultan Son of not known the Deputy Development Commissioner, Nawada.
5. Mr. Narendra Kumar Son of Not Known the District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Nawada, Bihar through its member.
6. Mr. Sri Gorakh Prasad, the District Education Officer, Nawada.
7. Dr. Surendra Kumar Mandal, the District Programme Officer, Nawada.
8. Md. Julfida Ahmad, the Block Development Officer, Kashichak, Nawada.
9. Smt. Geeta Devi, The Block Education Extension Officer, Kashichak Block, Nawada Asha Devi, Wife of not known
10. Smt. Asha Devi Wife of Not known The Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat, Khakhari, Didhgaon, P.S. - Kashichak.
11. Dinesh Paswan Former Panchayat Secretary Khakhari Didhgaon, Gram Panchayat, P.S. - Kashichak, at present Panchayat Secretary, Ghonghat Gram Panchayat Sindalia, Nawada.
12. Ram Chandra Mehta, Panchayat Secretary, Khakhari, Kashichak, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Himanshu Kumar Akela
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.K. Sinha, GP-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

6 12-09-2018 On perusal of the order dated 28.07.2014, violation of which is being complained in the present contempt application, I find that the direction to the respondents was to consider the



case of the petitioners in the fresh process of selection to be held. The earlier selection process was held by this Court to be vitiated out of certain irregularities. This is not disputed that the cases of the petitioners were considered and they were appointed also but subsequently their appointments have been cancelled by the appellate authority.

This Court's order thus, stands complied with, once the petitioners' cases were considered by the competent body. No case of contempt is made out.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

arun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

