

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6856 of 2013

=====

Dr. Anil Kumar, Pita - Sw. Radheshyam Vibhagadhyaksh, Vanaspati Vigyan,
Sitaram Sahoo College, Nawada Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar Rajya
 2. Pradhan Sachiv, Manav Sansadhan Vikas Vibhag, Naya Sachivalaya, Patna-800001
 3. Kulpati, Magadh Vishwavidyalaya, Bodhgaya
 4. Sri Shailendra Kumar, Apar Zila Samahartta, Zila Samaharnalaya Nawada Sah Adhyaksh, Tadarth Samiti, Sitaram Sahoo College, Nawada
 5. Sri Nawal Kishor Yadav, M. L. C., Sadasya Tadarth Samiti, Sitaram Sahoo College, Nawada
 6. Sri Ramchandra Prasad, Sadasya, Tadarth Samiti, Sitaram Sahoo College, Nawada
 7. Dr. (Pro.) Subhash Chandra Sah, Prabhari Pracharya, Sitaram Sahoo College, Nawada Respondent/s
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh No.10, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kinkar Kumar, SC-9
For the University : Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 27-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State as well as University.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondent with regard to posting of Professor Incharge.

3. There is no post of Professor Incharge in the Statute or the Universities Act, but it is only by way of interim arrangement.

4. Accordingly, the writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that if he is aggrieved by any decision, he



may approach the University by filing representation and the University may take appropriate decision on the representation of the petitioner expeditiously within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the claim of the petitioner.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	29.08.2018
Transmission Date	

