

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 17088 of 2013

=====

DINESH RAWAT SON OF LATE DWARIKA RAWAT RESIDENT OF
MOHALLA- BHIKHANPUR (GUMTI NO. 3) P.S.- KOTWALI, DISTRICT-
BHAGALPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Urban Development
Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur
3. The Administrator, Bhagalpur Municipal Corporation District- Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Rajendra Kumar Jain, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr GP XXV
For the Corporation	:	Mr Maruth Nand Roy, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondents.

2 Petitioner claims to have been working in the service
of the respondent-Corporation since 1981. He is claiming
regularization. It is submitted that petitioner has crossed the age of
retirement during pendency of the writ petition. However, his
claim has not been considered.

3 Specific stand taken by the respondent-Corporation in
paragraph 18 of the counter affidavit is that the State authorities
have yet to respond to the approval of the service of the petitioner



which was requisitioned under order dated 16.12.2006 (Annexure 8 of the writ petition).

4 Since the matter is yet to be considered by the State authorities, this Court would consider it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No 1 to take a final decision in accordance with law on the claim of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.10.2018
Transmission Date	NA

