

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13271 of 2013

=====

Sunil Kumar Singh S/O- Late Jagdish Singh, Resident of Village- Behlari, P.S.-
Sikraul, Disttict - Buxar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Department of Food and Civil Supply, Government of Bihar,
Patna
3. Dy. Development Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer, Buxar
4. The Block Development Officer, Navanagar, Buxar
5. The Block Supply Officer, Navanagar, Buxar
6. The Certificate Officer-Cum-Senior Deputy Collector, Buxar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Mira Kumari. Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Apurva Kumar, AC to GA 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-09-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs –

*“(A) For, issuance of writ of certiorari for quashing the
letter no. 512 dated 21/06/2013 issued from the office
of the respondent No.- 4 Block Development Officer,
Navanagar, Buxar, whereby and where under the
respondent B.D.O. has asked the petitioner to show
cause within a period of two weeks as to why the
report should not be made to the Certificate Officer to
proceed against you further in Certificate Case No.
51/2011-2012(Annexure-3).*

*(B) For, a direction to the respondent B.D.O. and to the
certificate officer not to proceed further in certificate
case no. 51/2011-2012, unless the account for the*



lifted grains under S.G.R.Y. in the year 2002-06 is finalized after giving the petitioner opportunity to account the same in the presence of Block Development Officer.

(C) For any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner maybe found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that the Enquiry Commission of Hon’ble Mr. Justice Udai Sinha (Retired) has now submitted its report and any further action in relation to recovery of the value of undistributed rice under the SGRY Scheme would abide by the decision of the State Government to be taken on the basis of such report.

4. In the above view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach this Court afresh if and when the occasion arises in future.

5. Having regard to the stand of the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	20.09.2018
Transmission Date	NA

