

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25141 of 2013

Patliputra Infra Construction Pvt. Ltd. Through Its Managing Director Mr. Sunil Kumar S/O Sri Kapildeo Sharma Resident Of Mohalla- Sharda Niwas, Infront Of Shidhi Vinayak Hospital, Mohalla- Gola Road, Bailey Road, P.O- Danapur, P.S- Rupaspur, District- Patna- 801503

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Secretary, Rural Works Department, Vishweshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 15
2. The Engineer- In- Chief- Cum- Additional Commissioner- Cum- Special Secretary, Rural Works Department, Vishweshwaraiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 1
3. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Circle, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Masaurhi (Patna)
6. The Divisional Account Officer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Masaurhi, Patna.
7. M/S Dhaneshwar Nath Construction Through Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Singh Mohalla- Lalit Niketan Colony, Hanuman Nagar, Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, Kankarbagh, P.O- T.V. Tower, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manish Sahay, Adv
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Birju Prasad, GP 13
		Mr. Amresh AC to GP 13
		Mr. Ashok Kumar, AC to GP13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 05-12-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the letter No. 1917 dated 07.12.2013 (reply of legal notice) of the Superintending Engineer (Respondent No. 3) as well as decision dated 12.11.2013 of the Technical Bid Committee (obtained from departmental website) headed by the said authority as contained in Annexure 7 and 3 whereby and whereunder the said authority has taken decision finally to allot the work in question in favour of respondent no. 7 after accepting that the said respondent no. 7 has



failed to comply with mandatory requirement of Clause 9 and 10 of the NIT;and for connected reliefs.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has become infructuous by efflux of time and the writ petition need not be pressed.

3. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.12.2018
Transmission Date	NA

