

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3247 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8299 of 2002

=====

Ganesh Narain Sharan, Son of Late Karuna Sindhu Sharan, resident of Village- Bhagwatia, P.O. Bhagwatia, P.S. Kesariya, District- East Champaran, retired Acting Headmaster High School Baikunthpur, District- Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Secondary Education, Govt. of Bihar namely Sri Kamal Kumar Sinha.
2. The Vidyalay Sewa Board through its Secretary Sri Parsuram Mishra, Secretary Sri Parsuram Mishra, Secretary Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna namely, Sri Alok Kumar Sinha.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna namely, Prabhat Kumar Sinha.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-3
Miss. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

7 27-08-2018

While disposing of the writ application, the learned

writ court passed the following order :-

“In the circumstances, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent Commission to consider the said proposal of the Department for promotion of the petitioner as regular Headmaster of the School in terms of the said letter of the Government. The Commission shall call for records from any other Department or authority, if required, and take a final decision in the matter, preferably within a period of three



months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. For convenience of the Commission petitioner may also filed a representation along with all correspondences and letters between the Department and the Vidyalaya Sewa Board or any other document which may facilitate an early decision in the case by the Commission.”

It is admitted position at the bar that in terms of the order of the learned Writ Court, the Commission considered the case of the petitioner for promotion as regular Headmaster of the school and he has also been granted promotion and all the benefits attached to the same. The grievance of the petitioner is now that his pay fixation has not been correctly done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will raise his grievance with respect thereto in terms of the statement made in paragraph-7 of the supplementary show cause filed on behalf of opposite party no. 1.

Learned counsel for the State is present.

This application has become infructuous. It is disposed off accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Ved/-

U			
---	--	--	--

