

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6564 of 2015

=====

Kaimuranchal Vikash Samiti through Secretary Arun Kumar Upadhayaya
Son of Sri Dhanushdhari Upadhayaya, Resident of Villae - Baramuli, P.S. -
Sonhan, District - Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Inspector General Jail and Reforms, Patna, Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua.
3. Jail Superintendent, Mandal Kara, Bhabhua.
4. Deputy Superintendent (Jailor), Mandal Kara, Bhabhua.
5. Additional District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kulanand Jha, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Shakib Ayaz, AC to AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 13-12-2018

The present writ petition has been filed for the following

reliefs -

“(A) A writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the whole tender process issued by the Superintendent Mandal kara Bhabhua in daily Hindi Newspaper “HINDUSTAN” dated 31.01.2015 by inviting tenders for supply of food items and miscellaneous things in Mandal Kara, Bhabhua for the period 01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016.

(B) A writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to cancel the tender which was opened on 31.03.2015 by the respondent authorities.

(C) A writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to allow the petitioner for participating in tender process after the cancellation of the said tender for the period 01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016.



(D) For a direction to the respondent no. 2, 3 and 4 to allow the petitioner to supply of food items and miscellaneous things till the award of the tender for the period 01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016.

(E) For any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions as may be fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous by efflux of time as the period of tender was for one year which has since been elapsed and the writ petition need not be pressed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appears.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

lbrar/

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	17.12.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

