

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18212 of 2016

=====

Rukmini Devi wife of Ram Sharan Paswan resident of Vill. + P.O. + P.S. -
Chousa, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector Purnia, District Purnia.
2. The Establishment Deputy Collector (E.D.C) Purnia.
3. The Panchayati Raj Officer, Purina.
4. The Block Development Officer, Bhawanitpur (Purnia).
5. The Treasury Officer, Purnia, District Purnia.
6. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna
7. The Director General Provident Fund Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
8. The District Provident Fund Officer, Purnia.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ghanshyam Sharma
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay- Ga5
For Accountant General	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 27-11-2018

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The present writ application has been filed for the
following reliefs:

“1. That this writ petition is directed for
issuance of direction to the respondents payment of
Group Insurance amount (G.I.C.), General Provident
Fund (G.P.F.) and earned leave amount for 300 days and
etc. Revised P.P.O. & G.P. O and other admitted
admissible retirement benefit ad contained in (Annexure-
01) with statutory interest and 10% percent on the total
dues.”

It is submitted by learned AC to G.A. 5 that entire
payment has been made to the petitioner. Learned counsel for



the petitioner does not dispute this fact. However, he claims that in case there is any miscalculation of the due amount, the petitioner may be given the liberty to file a representation before the appropriate authority for redressal of her grievance.

In view of the fact that the entire claim of the petitioner has been redressed, this writ application is disposed of as it has become infructuous, with the liberty aforementioned to the petitioner.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

anil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

