

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6843 of 2012

=====

SAPANA KUMARI D/O SHRI NAND LAL PASWAN RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE-AKBARPUR, TOLA-BANSHDIH, POST-HABIPUR, P.S.
HILSA, DISTT. NALANDA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER-CUM-SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT, GOVT. OF BIHAR, NEW SECRETARIAT, PATNA
2. THE SPECIAL DIRECTOR (SANSKRIT) HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF BIHAR, NEW SECRETARIATE, PATNA
3. THE BIHAR SANSKRIT SHIKSHA BOARD, THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN, EAST BORING CANAL ROAD, PATNA
4. THE SECRETARY, BIHAR SANSKRIT SHIKSHA BOARD, EAST BORING CANAL ROAD, PATNA
5. THE DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER, null DISTT. NALANDA AT BIHARSHARIF
6. THE HEAD MASTER, SHRI JAGDAMBA SANSKRIT HIGH SCHOOL AKBARPUR, P.O. HABIBPUR, P.S. HILSA, DISTT. NALANDA
7. BAJNATH PRASAD, CHAIRMAN OF MANAGING COMMITTEE OF SHRI JAGDAMBA SANSKRIT HIGH SCHOOL, AKBARPUR AT VILLAGE-AKBARPUR, POST-HABIBPUR, P.S. HILSA DISTT. NALANDA
8. ARUN KUMAR SECRETARY OF MANAGING COMMITTEE OF SHRI JAGDAMBA SANSKRIT HIGH SCHOOL, AKBARPUR, DISTRICT-NALANDA
9. UPENDRA PRASAD SINGH, TEACHER REPRESENTATIVE MEMBER OF SHRI JAGDAMBA SANSKRIT HIGH SCHOOL, AKBARPUR, P.O. HABIBPUR, P.S. HILSA, DISTT. NALANDA
10. BACHA PRASAD SINGH, EDUCATION'ST MEMBER OF MANAGING COMMITTEE OF SHRI JAGDAMBA SANSKRIT HIGH SCHOOL, AKBARPUR AT VILLAGE-AKBARPUR, POST-HABIVPUR, P.S. HILSA DISTT. NALANDA
11. PUNAM KUMARI WIFE OF SANJEEV KUMAR @ CHUNNU KUMAR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-AKBARPUR, POST HABIBPUR, P.S. HILSA, DISTT. NALANDA

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahesh Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sunil Kr. Mandal SC-24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

7 27-06-2018

Yesterday this case was listed under the heading “for



admission” but no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to assist the court and today also no one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondent has drawn attention of the Court to the Order contained in Annexure-7 where challenge the validity of appointment was held to be devoid of any merit. The co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.02.2012 dismissed the Writ Application challenging the appointment of respondent Punam Devi.

In the aforesaid circumstance the Court is not inclined to interfere in the present application as it is barred by principle *res judicata*.

It is accordingly dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

banti/-

U			
---	--	--	--

