

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 4484 of 2015

=====

Sheela Kumari, Wife of Bhola Rai, Resident of village - Kusaiya, P.S.- Warisnagar,
District - Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Secretary, Department of Child Development and Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate, Samastipur.
4. Incharge Magistrate, District Public Grievance Cell, Samastipur.
5. Deputy Development Commissioner, Samastipur.
6. District Welfare Officer, Samastipur.
7. District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S., Samastipur.
8. Block Development Officer, Warisnagar, District - Samastipur.
9. Child Development Project Officer, Warisnagar, District - Samastipur.
10. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Kusaiya, P.S.- Warisnagar, District - Samastipur.
11. Member, Ward No. 3 of Kusaiya Gram Panchayat, P.S.- Warisnagar, District - Samastipur.
12. Babita Kumari, Wife of Rajesh Rai, Resident of village - Kusaiya, P.S.- Warisnagar, District - Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Ajay Kr Thakur,
Mr Md Imteyaz Ahmad, Advocates

For the S t a t e : Mr Manoj Kumar, AC to GP IV

For Respondent No 12 : Dr Om Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondent-State.

2 It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the grounds for rejecting her candidature are required to be
looked into by the Authority prescribed under the Guidelines. It is
common case that the appropriate Authority is the District Magistrate.



3 In view of such submission, the petitioner may make her application challenging the appointment/selection of respondent No 12 as Angan Bari Sevika for Centre No 91, situate in Ward No 3 of Kusaiya Gram Panchayat within Warisnagar Block in the district of Samastipur. The District Magistrate, on receipt of such application, would be obliged to consider the claim of the petitioner after hearing the parties concerned expeditiously.

4 Writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

5 The petitioner, if is keen to avail of the opportunity of Annexure 14 which is the file noting which has been relied upon, should avail of her opportunity within a period of four weeks from today. It is made clear that if no application is filed within four weeks, the claim of the petitioner will not be considered.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

