

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.42961 of 2018

=====

1. Vinay Kumar Chaudhary S/o Ram Swarth Chaudhary, R/o Vill.-
Kajechak, Dharampur, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Ishwar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-08-2018

Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Samasipur Muffasil P.S. Case No. 159 of 2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 341, 323, 379, 387, 427, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is of demand of Rs. 25,000/- as Rangdari from the informant and also assaulted him with butt of the pistol, causing injury. It is further alleged that he has taken Rs. 15,000/-, government documents and golden chain.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and there is no demand of Rangdari has been made by the petitioner. It



is further submitted that the petitioner is accused in one case in which he is already on bail.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Under such facts and circumstances, the allegation of demand of Rangdari against the petitioner, above named, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected with a direction to the petitioner that if the petitioner surrenders before the Court below and makes prayer for regular bail, which will be considered by the learned court below on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order of this Court.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

