

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38848 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -132 Year- 2017 Thana -ISLAMPUR District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

1. Kumar Ravi Prakash Son of Kumar Ranjit Sinha, resident of Village-
Ekangarsarai, P.S.- Ekangarsarai, District- Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Branch In Charge, Nalanda Central Co-operative
Bank Ltd. Islampur, District- Nalanda.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhirendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Informant : Mr. Bindhyanchal Rai, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Sri Sakir Ahmad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-09-2018 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Islampur P.S. Case No. 132 of 2017, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner, who was engaged for
updating the software of the computer, is of defalcation of money
in the Bank, as the persons deposited money in their Account, but
less deposit has been shown in the Account.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that
the petitioner has worked in the Bank only for the period from
16.06.2013 to 01.09.2016 and those transactions is not of that
period and he has been falsely implicated in this case.



Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the informant, they have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stating that some persons were issued receipt against depositing the amount but the amount has not been deposited in their Account or lesser amount has been deposited and also in the wrong way the depositing and withdrawal has been shown.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner rather he should surrender before the court below and pray for regular bail, which would be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by order of this Court.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/Amjad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

