

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16567 of 2012

- =====
1. Deo Kumar Vishwakarma, Son of Saree Ram Bhajan Mistri, resident of Mohalla- Manpur Bazar, P.S.- Buniyadganj, District- Gaya.
 2. Binod Kumar Yadav, Son of Shree Dhannu Yadav, resident of Village- Imaliyachak, Katari Hill Road, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya.
 3. Raj Kumar Vishwakarma S/O Shree Ram Bhajan Mistri R/O Mohalla- Manpur Bazar, P.S.- Buniyadganj, District- Gaya
 4. Shiv Prasad S/O Shree Premchand Ram R/O Mohalla- Manpur Bazar, P.S.- Buniyadganj, District- Gaya
 5. Manoj Kumar S/O Shree Krishna Prasad R/O Janakpur, Shyam Talkies Gali, District- Gaya
 6. Prakash Kumar, Son of Late Panchu Manjhi, resident of Village- Bhatbigha, Katari Hill Road, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya.
 7. Munna Paswan S/O Shree Rajkumar Paswan R/O Village-Baradin, P.S.- Baragandhar, District- Gaya
 8. Shankar Kumar Vishwakarma S/O Shree Ram Kishun Mistri R/O Tilha Dharmshala, Western Gate, District- Gaya
 9. Barhan Paswan, Son of Shree Babu Lal Paswan, resident of Kandi, Bitho Sharif, District- Gaya.
 10. Durga Choudhary S/O Shree Ram Lakhan Choudhary R/O Mohalla- Manpur Bazar, Post Office Lane, P.S.- Buniyadganj, District- Gaya
 11. Uday Yadav S/O Shree Dhannu Yadav R/O Village- Imaliyachak, Katari Hill Road, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya
 12. Shiv Kumar S/O Shree Raju Paswan R/O Bageshwari New Colony, C/O Bhadani Complex, Panchbigha, District- Gaya
 13. Suresh Prasad S/O Shree Janaki Bhagat R/O Digghitalab, Civil Line, District- Gaya
 14. Mahesh Prasad, Son of Late Narayan Das, resident of Bageshwari, R.S. Gaya, District- Gaya.
 15. Ramashish Pandit S/O Shree Babulal Pandit R/O Bishnuganj, Civil Aerodrum, District- Gaya
 16. Shiv Kumar Paswan, Son of Late Mungeshwar Paswan, resident of Village- Hamjapur, Sherghati, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Gaya.
3. The Assistant Director (Employment) Sub-Regional Employment Exchange, Gaya
4. The Deputy Collector, Nazarat, Gaya
5. The District Welfare Officer, Gaya.
6. Shashi Shekhar S/O Late Radhey Mohan Singh R/O Koyaribari, Civil Line, Gaya
7. Md. Tanveer Ahmad S/O Gulam Navi R/O Bhadeja, Sadar, Gaya
8. Santosh Kumar S/O Deo Narayan Prasad R/O Makloutganj, Kotwali Sadar, Gaya
9. Vikash Kumar, Son of Shree Satyendra Thakur, resident of Bharbar, Aurangabad.
10. Jitendra Singh, Son of Ram Bajhawan Singh, Rasalpur, Manpur Mofastice, Gaya- 823003.
11. Ved Prakash Thakur, Son of Deobansh Thakur, Aurangabad, Bharbar, Delsera,



Via-deo.

12. Randhir Kumar, Son of Krish Nandan Singh, Risaud Chakand, Delaganj, Gaya.
13. Md. Akbar Ali, Son of Md. Sjhidul Rahman Zila School, Gaya.
14. Tanweerul Ilam, Son of Abdus Salam, Shibli Colony, New Karmion Kareimganj, Civil Line Gaya.
15. Alok Kumar Singh, Son of Jay Prakash Singh, Pachalkhi, Nautan, Siwam.
16. Niranjana Kumar, Son of Mahendra Sharma, Fatehpur, Salempur, Belaganj, Gaya.
17. Prasidh Nath Das, Son of Sita, Dulha Bigha tekari, Gaya,
18. Dilip Kumar, Son of Kapil Deo Thakur, Sohan Bigha, Pandey Parsama, A.Medical College, Gaya.
19. Kamlesh Kumar Singh, Son of Sahdeo Singh, Risondh Salepur, Fatehpur, Belaganj, Gaya- 804404.
20. Jitendra Kumar, Son of Ram Birsh Prasad, Cand Chaura, Civil Line, Gaya- 823001.
21. Awadhesh Dubey, son of Sudama Pd. Dwivedi, Dema, Fetehpur, Khazarsari, Gaya.
22. Aditya Paswan, Son of Nanku Paswan, Bareb Mofasil, Manpur, Khanjahapur, Gaya- 823003.
23. Shambhu Prasad, Son of Deo Narayan Pd. Dharpur, Goshi, Jahanabad, 804406.
24. Amresh Prasad, son of Babu Ram Dharpur, Goshi, Jahanabad, 804406.
25. Abhay Shankar Singh, Son of Late Ram Lakhan Singh Purani, Jain Mandir, Chand Choula, Civil Line, Gaya, 823001.
26. Bishwaranjan Nayak, Son of Baestamba Charan, Butha Kuli Kothi Nayak, Murarpur, Kotwali- Gaya- 823001.
27. Kaushar Khan, Son of Bashir Khan, C/o Firoj Khan, Gari, No. Eakbal Nagar, Karbala, Kotwali, Gaya- 823002.
28. Shajad Khan, Son of Umar Khan, C/o Tannu house Maroofganj, Baniya, Pokhar, deed Post office, Kotwali, Gaya.- 823001.
29. Umesh Prasad, son of late Nagina Singh, Kala Fatehpur Chandauti, Bhagwanpur, Belaganj, Gaya.
30. Surendra Kumar, Son of Ram Keshlwan Prasad, Hasanpura, Punnakala Paraiya, Gaya- 842409.
31. Hare Ram Sharma, Son of Kamal Narayan Sharma, Gewal Bight, Koyali, Pokhar, Rampur, Gaya- 823001.
32. Ravi Kant, son of Bishun Prasad Gazipur, Arodham, Medical College, Gaya- 823004.
33. Manjit Kumar, Son of Gupteshwar Pd. Sinha, Risaud Salepur, Fathehpur Belaganj, Gaya, Gaura, Shanmi, Jahanabad.
34. Brajesh Kumar, Son of Panna Thakur, Tarari, Konch- Gaya.
35. Devendra Paswan, Son of Late Rasbihari Paswqan, Khapreal chak, Pursa Bazar, Patna- 804453.
36. Nagendra Kumar Singh, Son of Dharm Nath Singh, C/o Jitendra Singh, D.M. Kothi, Gaya, Smaharta Shakha, Gaya, Rampur, Gaya.
37. Dinesh Paswan, Son of Late Bhagwan Das, Ramsagar, Chand, Chaura, Civil Line, Gaya- 823001.
38. Sanjay Kumar Sinha, Son of Braj Nandan Pd. Sinha, C/o Shiv Yogi Sinha (teacher) A.P. Colony, Near MS. Yadav, Gaya.
39. Sita Ram Kumar, Son of Kishun Mahto Smada, Sri Rampur Sherghati, Gaya.
40. Manish Kumar, Son of Arvind Kumar Gewal Rtoli Dighi tank Gaya Civil Line- 823001.
41. Amul Kumar, Son of Arun Lal Pachati, Bodhgaya- 824231.



42. Santan Thakur, S/o Late Deo Bansh Thakur Bharbar, Belsara, Bhaya Deo Aurangabad.
43. Deepak Paswan, Son of Birendra Paswan, Dudhaila, Khanjahapur, Buniagasnj, Gaya- 823003.
44. Sunil Paswan, Son of Yamuna Paswan, C/o Kothi Gaya Kewati Chandauti, Gaya.
45. Shibunl Hasan, son of Md. Jainul Hasan, C/o Firoj Khan Eakbal Nagar, Kotwali Gaya.
46. Satendra Prasad, Son of Ram Lakhon Prasad Keshpur Nonhi Kako Jahanabad.
47. Sanjan Prasad, Son of Ram Yatan Prasad, C/o Ram Swarup Prasad Red House Compound, Rampur, Gaya 823001 Danerpur Goshi, Jahanabad.
48. Ranjay Kumar, Son of Shayam Narayan Singh, Ibrahimpur, Akhati Yarpur Vikram Patna- 801104.
49. Santosh Kumar, Son of Punet Pandet Barheta Kurhta, Jhanabad.
50. Binod Kumar, Son of Bhai Pandit, Bisunganj, Jahanabad.
51. Dhanjay Kumar, Son of Tipan Singh, Sharhimpur, Akhatyarpur Vikram Paliganj, Patna- 801104.
52. Vinod Kumar, Son of Araesh Mistri, Pepra Phunuria, Paraya- Gaya- 823001.
53. Yugesh Kumar, Son of Janadan Prasad, Uparhuli Paraiya Gaya- 824209.
54. Sandip Kumar Bari, son of Sita Ram Bari, C/o Sandip Kumar Bari Chand Chaura Civil Line Gaya- 823001.
55. Wasi Imam, Son of Ali Imam, D.M. Kothi Rampur Gaya- 823001.
56. Vijay Kumar Yadav, Son of Kanhai Yadav, Gewal Bigha Rampur, Gaya- 823001.
57. Rajeev Kumar, son of Ganesh Prasad, Rasalpur Manpur Muffasil, Gaya- 823003.
58. Jitendra Kumar, Son of Late Nagina Yadav, Kala Fatehepur, Chandauti Bhagwnpur, Belaganj, Gaya.
59. Sunil Kumar Sinha, son of Late Mirmal Kumar Sinha, Pepra Jagdispur Lahang Dumariya Bihiya, Bhospur- 802151.
60. Pintu Kumar, Son of Dharm Nath Singh, C/o Sri Jitendra Kumar Singh, D.M Kothi, Gaya Geva Bigha Pain Rampur, Gaya.
61. Birendra Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Kamta Prasad Chandchaura Rampur, Gaya.
62. Anand Raj Roushan, Son of Nand Kishore Prasad Sinha, Ashok Vihar Colony Maranpur Chandchaura Civil Line, Gaya- 823001.
63. Mithilesh Singh, Son of Satynarayan Singh, Risaud Chakand Belaganj, Gaya.
64. Md. Galib Khan, Son of Md. Alam Sher Khan Rambal, Darighat Suhbal Gajipur (U.P.).
65. Md. Meraj Khan, Son of Md. Alam Khan, Ramwal Darighat, Suhbal Gajipur (U.P.).
66. Lavkush Kumar, Son of Janki Sharan Singh, Fathepur Sale Punbelganj, Gaya- 804404.
67. Rajesh Kumar, Son of Siya Shaan Singh, Ratehpur Salepur Belaganj, Gaya- 804404.
68. Ajay Kumar Singh, Son of Ramdas Singh, Gahua, Bigha Usarimehandiya, Arwal- 804428.
69. Kavita Devi, Wife of Chandrika Prasad, D.M. Coffiotental Section, P.S. Gaya Barew Moffasil Manpur, Gaya.
70. Briju Paswan, Son of Kedar Paswan, C/o Chandrika Prasad D.M. Kothi Bareo Moffasil Manpur, Gaya.
71. Ramsewak Paswan, Son of Ram Brisks Paswan Barhi Bigha Chakand, Station Chandauti, Gaya- 804404.
72. Ajj Khan, Son of Md. Jamal Khan, C/o Firoz Khan (Driver) Gadi NO. 2222



- D.M. Gaya Jaspur Fatehpur Gaya.
73. Surendra Prasad Son of Jaynarayan Prasad, C/o Jaynarayan Prasad Gewal Bigha Koyali Pokhar Rampur, Gaya Kapri Arwal, Jahanabad.
 74. Dhananjay Kumar, Son of Badhan Yadav, Prampur Solra Paraiya Gaya- 823001.
 75. Ranjesh Sharma, Son of Chandoo Thakur, Nepura Asthawan Nalanda (Biharsarif).
 76. Gopal Thakur, Son of Karu Thakur, C/o Ajit Kumar Swarajpur Road H.P.O. Gaya, Ranjoundha, Raushanpur Banke Bazar, Serghati Gaya.
 77. Gopal Jee Singh, Son of Prasharam Singh, Gor Kotti U Pahara Aurangabad- 824203.
 78. Bipin Kumar, Son of Devandra Kumar New Colony Chhotaki Delha Rs. Gaya- 823002.
 79. Natique Khan, Son of Abdul Wanab Khan, C/o Firoz Khan(Driver), D.M. Gaya Egbal Nagar Karbala Kotwali Gaya.
 80. Ampu Kumar, Son of Rama Kant Sharma, Mandaul Meere Bigha Chandauti, Gaya- 804404.
 81. Pankaj Kumar, Son of Panna Thakur, Tarari Konch, Gaya- 823001.
 82. Birmani, Son of Nand Kishore Sharma, 40 A.N. Road, Mahabir Mandir Murarpur, Gaya- 823001 Aeru Wazingans, Gaya.
 83. Umesh Malakar, Son of Nanhak Malakar, C/o Chandrika Prasad, D.M. Kothi Gaya Seidapur Kako Jhanabad.
 84. Rajgovind Nhagat, Son of Nanhak Bhagat, C/o Chandrika Prasad, R/o D.M. Kothi Gaya.
 85. Sidheshwar Prasad, Son of Pachlauri Prasad, C/o Sideleshwar Prasad, Parisadan Head office Rampur, Gaya Ghuraita Mirjganj Hirodih Jancia Giridh Jarkhand.
 86. Sanjay Kumar, Son of Sitaram Rajak, Chotki Delha Shivnagar P.S.- Gaya- 823002.
 87. Asha Kumari, Daughter of Sanichar Rajak, Ramsagar Talab Chandchaura, Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

with

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10563 of 2008

=====

1. Shindhu Kumar, son of Sri Somar Rabidas, Resident of Village Kastha, P.S. Sotara, Dist. Gaya.
2. Ram Krishan Thakur, Son of late Ram Naresh Thakur, Resident of Nauwabigha, P.S. Tolara, Dist. Gaya.
3. Mahendra Rabidas, son of late Rabidas, Resident of Manpur Durga Asthan, P.S. Buniyadganj, Dist. Gaya.
4. Suresh Yadav, son of Shri Mungeshwar Yadav, Resident of Village Bitho, P.O. Paraiya, Dist. Gaya.
5. Ram Kumar Bhagat, son of late Rameshwar Bhagat, resident of Village Barki Delha Bus Stand, P.S. Delha, Dist. Gaya.
6. Shivbachan Das, son of late Munshi Das, resident of Mahurat, P.S. Dharaiya, Dist. Gaya.
7. Anil Kumar Sharma, son of Sri Bangali Thakur, resident of Manpur, P.S. Patwa Toli, Durga Asthan, District Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.



2. The Collector, Gaya.
3. The Assistant Director (Employment), Sub-Regional Employment Exchange, Gaya.
4. The Deputy Collector, Nazarat, Gaya.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.16567 of 2012) &
(In CWJC No.10563 of 2008)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vaidehi Raman Pd. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kumar Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rai Shivji Nath, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amrendra Narayan, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, AC to GP-24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 08-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties in both the cases.

Since common issue has been involved in both the writ applications, both the cases are being disposed of by this common order. For convenience, the fact of first case, C.W.J.C. No. 16567 of 2012 is being taken into consideration.

In the present petition, the petitioners are challenging the order passed by the District Magistrate, Gaya dated 12.3.2012 (Annexure-16), whereby and whereunder, the objection filed by the petitioners with respect to the preparation of final panel (Annexure-13) was considered and rejected.

In this case, the petitioners are basically challenging the manner of preparation of panel, as claimed certain persons who were minor during the period 1988-89 were included in the panel and, later



on, a fresh panel was prepared, they continued to remain in the panel and when the panel has been prepared in the year 2009, they have been given precedence and seniority over these petitioners and, on that account, petitioners have been shown below them. The petitioners further submit those who were minors, if they are removed from the panel, the position of the petitioners will improve and they will be appointed accordingly.

The matter relates to the appointment on Class-IV post from the panel prepared in the year 2000 by the Collector, Gaya and those who have not found place, filed writ application C.W.J.C. No. 8011 of 2000 and the application was disposed of vide order dated 28.8.2000 wherein the Court had directed the respondents not to make any appointment against Class-IV post without any advertisement, without following the procedure laid down by the State, directed to consider the case of the petitioners for appointment against Class-IV posts, if they apply in pursuance of any advertisement as is published in future. If no advertisement made, the Authority will take step for publication of the same expeditiously preferably within a period of four months. In pursuance of the direction of the Court, a notification dated 23.11.2000 (Annexure-2) was published from the office of Collector, Gaya, inviting application of interested persons through respective employment exchange, a panel of 750 persons was



prepared in which names of petitioners were not standing. The petitioners against the panel, filed writ petition vide C.W.J.C. No. 10652 of 2003 which was permitted to be withdrawn in view of the order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 9042 of 2003 in which direction was given for preparation of the final panel. A review application vide Civil Review No. 03 of 2005 was filed by one Manoj Kumar and others, the earlier order was recalled, directed for fresh hearing of the writ petition. The writ petition vide C.W.J.C. No. 4486 of 2007 (Deo Kumar Vishwakarma & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.) and analogous cases (Annexure-6) were disposed of vide order dated 25.7.2007 holding that the persons whose names were in the panel of 1995-96 or in the subsequent panel, if they could not be appointed, in such cases, as per the circular of the Government, their names are to be revalidated and included in the next panel giving weightage and seniority. New panel was prepared by publishing advertisement, calling names from the employment exchange, inviting application and weightage should be given to the persons whose names were there in the earlier panel. Accordingly, the Court has held that the persons whose name was there in the earlier panel, if they were not appointed again, they would be empanelled in the subsequent year of panel prepared by the authorities and they will get not only place in the panel but, they will also get seniority over the others and, finally, the



Court has recorded that as a fresh advertisement 1/04 has been published and a direction was given to the District Magistrate, Gaya to proceed in pursuance of the advertisement already published, only relaxation was given to such candidates who could not file their application on account of pendency of the writ application, notice/corrigendum should be published extending the time for submitting the application, the process for preparation of panel should be completed within two months from the date fixed for submitting the application. In case of the preparation of panel the appointments against existing vacancies in order of seniority should be made within further one month time from the date of preparation of the panel. The Court granted the benefit for age relaxation up to 5 years. In pursuance thereof, the corrigendum vide Annexure 7/A was issued and, in pursuance thereof, those who were earlier failed to file their application were given liberty to participate in the selection process and, after receipt of the application, the Authority prepared the draft panel and in the draft panel, the name of the petitioners were not mentioned there. As the petitioners did not find their place in the panel, they filed objection but, the same was not taken into consideration by the Collector, Gaya, whereafter, the petitioners filed writ application C.W.J.C. No. 9270 of 2008 with a prayer to include the name of this petitioners. This Court passed the interim order vide



order dated 6.8.2008 (Annexure-12) wherein it has been mentioned that any appointment from the panel will be subject to the outcome of the writ application. Finally, the District Magistrate published the panel on 21.10.2008 (Annexure-13) wherein he has recommended the name of 186 persons from the panel and the candidates in the category of 6(क) are persons from the panel of 1997 panel which is apparently clear from the letter dated 10.12.2008 written by the District Magistrate cum Chairman of the Selection Committee from where it appears that in view of the letter no. 3577 dated 25.4.1997, the selected persons in 6(क) are those from the earlier panel and also the panel prepared prior to 1997 which is apparently clear from the advertisement contained in Annexure-7/A wherein it has been mentioned that as 6(क) are those candidates who were empanelled during the period 1999-2000 and earlier panelists, they were given seniority and, on this basis, it has been submitted that the panel was prepared, accordingly, the seniority has been given to those who were in the earlier panel and has submitted that in the final panel i.e. Annexure-13 from Item No.1 to 202 are such persons are from the old panel and seniority has been given to them. As per plea of the petitioners, entire exercise suffers from illegality as persons, who were minors at that time have wrongly been added in the panel, inasmuch as, having been given seniority also. The writ application



which was filed by the petitioner in C.W.J.C. No. 9270 of 2008 was disposed of vide Annexure-14, whereby and whereunder, the Court has directed the District Magistrate to decide the objection filed by the petitioners and further has given observation that if the petitioners would improve their position in the panel, the authority will consider the case of this petitioners. When the objection of the petitioners was not disposed of, the petitioners approached this Court in Contempt in M.J.C. No. 4666 of 2011 (Annexure-15), was disposed of as, by that time, the Collector rejected the objection of the petitioners vide order dated 12.3.2012. Learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out from the panel that the persons who are at serial no. 1, 4, 13, 15, 22, 24, 40, 41, 44, 52, 53, 55, 59, 62, 64, 69, 71, 72, 73 & 76 are those whose names were included in the 1988-89 panel. Further it has been submitted that their names could not be included into the panel the granting seniority over the others is completely dehors to Article 14 of the Constitution of India and further their entry itself was completely illegal and, as such, they could not be given any seniority over the others. It will be relevant to mention herein that certain persons who could not find their place in the panel apart from present petitioners, about 17 persons and others have approached this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 9532 of 2008 Annexure R-7 and the Hon'ble Single Judge has allowed the writ application holding that those who were earlier



empanelled cannot be granted seniority as because they are in the earlier panel and if such practice is allowed, it will be in the teeth of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. That order was tested by the present respondents in L.P.A. No. 1542 of 2013 (Annexure A/1) and the same was dismissed and that order was challenged before the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 4789 of 2016 Annexure A/2 at Page 472, wherein the Apex Court did not interfere with respect to certain persons who did not have requisite qualification as was required but, the Court has held that if the Collector has exercised the power and has granted relaxation of age, in such circumstances, interference by the Single Judge is uncalled for and the Apex Court has restored their selection and the Court has restored the appointment of persons in whose favour discretion was exercised for relaxation of age. The petitioners have approached this Court by way of intervention application vide Civil Appeal No. 4789 of 2016 in SLP No. 29179 of 2014 and the Hon'ble Apex Court has refused to allow him to participate in the proceeding and rejected the application mentioning therein that as the petitioner has approached the High Court in C.W.J.C. No. 16567 of 2012 i.e. the present case, they were given liberty to raise all points. In view of the fact that the petitioners have earlier approached this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 1027 of 2000, wherein the learned Single Judge recorded that those were are



from the earlier panel and brought subsequently will get the weightage in the seniority and that order was never challenged by the petitioners in higher level though this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 9532 of 2008 has taken a different view but ultimately the panel prepared by the Collector, Gaya was placed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, there also, the issue of age relaxation was considered and the Court has held that when the discretion has been exercised by the Divisional Commissioner, Gaya, consciously and properly, in such circumstances, the interference by the writ court was not legal and proper. So, the petitioners could have challenged the findings of the Hon'ble Single Judge on the issue presently raised of empanelment and seniority which has been decided in C.W.J.C. No. 1027 of 2000 and analogous cases wherein the Court has specifically directed to grant weightage to the persons of earlier panel but, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he had raised this point but, was not taken into consideration but, from the order, it does not appear that such point was ever argued or raised and was not decided by the Court and, in such circumstances, as all the points could have been raised by the petitioner, if he failed to raise the point, in such circumstances, now subsequently by filing a writ application cannot be allowed to open the whole issue and would challenge the panel prepared by the Collector which has ultimately been approved by the Hon'ble Apex Court.



In such view of the matter, this Court does not find any merit in both the writ applications. However, if the petitioners apply in view of any fresh advertisement, the Selection Committee will consider the case of the petitioners sympathetically and also will take care to grant maximum benefit of age relaxation. As has been submitted that the petitioners have already filed such application, the Collector, Gaya will examine the case with others and if the petitioners qualify, they will be granted the benefit of service.

With the aforementioned observations and direction, both the writ applications are disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	17.03.2018
Transmission Date	NA

