

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7218 of 2015

=====

Kanaklata, Daughter of Bindeshwari Mahto, Wife of Ram Nirikshan Prasad,
resident of village- Bashi, P.O.- Churamanchak, P.S.- Teghara, District-
Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Bihar School Examination Board through its Secretary, Sinha Library
Road, Patna- 800 017

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushottam Jha, Advocate
For the BSEB : Mr.Amarendra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 21-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents, with reference to
Annexure-B to the counter affidavit, submits that the agency has
replied the Controller of Examination, Bihar School
Examination Board that on verification of the master data, it
was found that candidate, namely, Kanaklata Roll No.
1005110002 has filled up subject Hindi in OMR answer sheet in
Paper I and not Social Science.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
reply has been received in December, 2018 with regard to the
examination of 2011.

In view of the above, the Court is not in a position to



decide the disputed question of fact.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents may be cautioned that in future they may not commit such mistake which cause prejudice to the innocent examinees.

If the respondents have evaluated the OMR sheet negligently, they are cautioned that in future if they are found negligent in the matter of evaluation, they may be saddled with heavy cost.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

