

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15087 of 2016

=====

Lal Munder Devi wife of Late Yugeshwar Prasad Sharma village- Jalpura,
Post-Ram-bux-Jaitipur, P.S.-Naubatupur, Distt.-Patna ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Dpearment, Vikash Bhawan Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director, Directorate General Provident Fund Pant, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Collector, Bhojpur, (Ara.), District-Bhojpur
5. The District Provident Officer Bhojpur (Ara), District-Bhojpur
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District-Patna
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), District- Bhojpur (Ara)
8. The Treasury Officer, Bhojpur (Ara)
9. The Head Master, Macha High School Kayapur, Fatehpur (Patna)
10. The Head Master, Sawami, High School Ekwana Kuia, Bhojpur (Ara)

... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner :

For the Respondents :

Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP XX

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL ORDER

5 05-07-2018

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the State has assisted the Court.

3. The petitioner has moved the Court for payment of Provident Fund dues. By order dated 03.01.2018, the Court had directed the respondent no. 8 to file a personal affidavit with regard to actual payment of Provident Fund having been made to the petitioner. Pursuant thereto, counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 8 on 12.01.2018 after service of copy on learned



counsel for the petitioner on 12.01.2018. The stand taken is that banker's cheque for an amount of Rs.99,322/- dated 28.12.2017 in favour of the petitioner has been received by her son on 02.01.2018. Copy of the banker's cheque and the receipt by the son of the petitioner have been made Annexure "D" to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no. 8.

4. As neither anybody has appeared on behalf of the petitioner today nor any rejoinder to the counter of respondent no. 8 has been filed, the Court can only presume that Provident Fund has been paid to the petitioner.

5. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Shamshad/-

U			
---	--	--	--

