

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2639 of 2016

=====

1. Nand Kishore Pandit S/o Kameshwar Pandit Resident of Mohalla-Sirchandnawada, P.S. Jamui, District Jamui.
2. Yogendra Mandal S/o Shukhdev Mandal Resident of Village- Raipura, , P.S. Khaira, District Jamui

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Jamui.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and land Reforms Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Divisional Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger.
5. District Magistrate, Jamui.
6. District Program Officer (Establishment), Jamui.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 03-01-2018 Heard Mr. Jitendra Kumar Pandey, learned counsel

appearing for the petitioners. There is no representation on behalf

of the State.

Perused the records.

The grievance of the petitioners is that following an appointment process initiated for filling up Group-D posts vide advertisement present at Annexure 1 issued under the signature of the District Magistrate, Jamui that a panel was prepared, an extract of which is present at Annexure 2 and in which the name of the petitioners appears at serial no. 1526 and 490 respectively. The grievance of the two petitioners is that this process has been left midway.



Mr. Jitendra Kumar Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, has invited the attention of this Court to an order passed on a similar plea raised by an applicant Niranjana Singh in C.W.J.C.No. 2288/2016 to submit that the writ petition was disposed of vide order passed on 20.11.2017 with the direction to the District Magistrate, Jamui to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment against Group-D post pursuant to the panel prepared which exercise was to be carried out within a period of three months of the receipt/ production of a copy of the order. He prays for disposal of the present writ petition on similar terms.

Having heard Mr. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioners, and considering the grievance raised as well as the order passed in the case of Niranjana Singh (supra), I deem it proper to direct the District Magistrate, Jamui to consider the grievance of the petitioners and dispose of the same in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

