

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2364 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -199 Year- 2017 Thana -MAHILA P.S District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Jiaullah Ansari @ Md. Jiaullah, Son of Sahid Ansari, Resident of Village -
Lalpur, P.S. - Pipra, District - Supaul.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide order dated 11.05.2018 in A.B.A. No. 437 of 2018 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Supaul in connection with Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No. 199 of 2017 registered under Sections 342, 448, 354A, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3(1)(w)(i) of the SC/ST Act.

Though in the FIR, allegation is of sexual harassment committed by the appellant against the informant.

Submission is that the case diary would reveal that both sides are close door neighbours. The Hen of the appellant entered into the premise of the informant. For that reason a quarrel had taken place.



However, exaggerated statement is there in the FIR. There is no other eye-witness who supported the allegation.

Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellant, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as condition that the appellant shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellant as well as condition that both the bailors shall be resident of within the territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Kundan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	31.08.2018
Transmission Date	31.08.2018

