

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38113 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -98 Year- 2012 Thana -MARAUNA District- SUPAUL

=====

1. VEENA KUMARI @ VEENA KUMAR, wife of late Kameshwar Prasad Verma, resident of village- Veena Ikma, P.S. & District- Supaul.
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

..... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subesh Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasiya, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3/ 30-07-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends her arrest in **Marona P.S.**

Case No.98 of 2012 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
419, 420, 409, 407, 468/34 Indian Penal Code.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a lady. She has already retired from service. BDO has already been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 24.12.2013 passed in Cr. Misc. No.39191 of 2013.

It is alleged in the written report that the informant approached BDO several times for payment of money of Indira Awas Yojna and the BDO always told her that she will get the amount from her bank account and did not handover the cheque. Later on, the informant enquired the matter through RTI and learnt that on 13.09.2006 that payment of the cheque issued in the



name of the informant was made to another lady, which was said to be identified by this petitioner, who was at that time Mahila Extension Officer.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Marona P.S. Case No.98 of 2012**, she shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and her absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel her bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

